

Fourier Analysis 04-06

Review:

Prop 1. Let $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$. Then the following hold:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f(x+h) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot e^{2\pi i \xi h}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad f(x) \cdot e^{-2\pi i h x} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \hat{f}(\xi + h), \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

\textcircled{3} Let $\delta > 0$. Then

$$f(\delta x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\delta} \hat{f}\left(\frac{\xi}{\delta}\right).$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad f'(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot (2\pi i \xi), \quad f' \in M(\mathbb{R}).$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad f^{(n)}(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \hat{f}(\xi) \cdot (2\pi i \xi)^n, \quad \text{if } f^{(j)} \in M(\mathbb{R}) \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad -2\pi i x f(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d \hat{f}(\xi)}{d \xi}, \quad xf(x) \in M(\mathbb{R}),$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad (-2\pi i x)^n f(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^n \hat{f}(\xi)}{d \xi^n}, \quad x^n f(x) \in M(\mathbb{R}).$$

Example: $e^{-\pi x^2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} e^{-\pi \xi^2}$

§ 5.4

Inversion formula.

Thm 1. Let $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $\hat{f} \in M(\mathbb{R})$.

Then

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \frac{\xi}{\lambda} x} d\xi$$

To prove the theorem, we introduce

Def. Let $f, g \in M(\mathbb{R})$. Set

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) g(y) dy.$$

Prop 2: Let $f, g \in M(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f * g = g * f$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad f * g \in M(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \widehat{f * g}(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) \cdot \widehat{g}(\xi).$$

pf of ②:

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y) g(y) dy$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} + \int_{|y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}} f(x-y) g(y) dy \\ &= (\text{I}) + (\text{II}) \end{aligned}$$

$$|(\text{I})| \leq \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)| dy$$

(notice that $|x-y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}$)

$$\leq \int_{|y| \leq \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{A}{1 + \left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^2} \cdot |g(y)| dy$$

$$\leq \frac{A}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(y)| dy$$

$$\leq \frac{\tilde{A}}{1 + |x|^2}$$

$$|(\text{II})| \leq \int_{|y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}} |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)| dy$$

$$\leq \int_{|y| \geq \frac{|x|}{2}} |f(x-y)| \cdot \frac{A}{1 + \left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^2} dy$$

$$\leq \frac{A}{1 + \left(\frac{|x|}{\epsilon}\right)^2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x-y)| dy$$

$$\leq \frac{A}{1 + \left(\frac{|x|}{\epsilon}\right)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)| dy$$

$$\leq \frac{\tilde{A}}{1 + |x|^2}$$

Hence $|f * g(x)| \leq \frac{A}{1 + |x|^2}$

Also it is easy to show that $f * g$ is cts.

□

Def. A family of $(K_t)_{t \in (a,b)} \subset M(\mathbb{R})$ is called a good kernel on \mathbb{R} as $t \rightarrow t_0$, if

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_t(x) dx = 1 \quad \forall t \in (a,b)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_t(x)| dx \leq M \quad \text{for some const } M > 0, \quad \forall t \in (a,b)$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \forall \delta > 0,$$

$$\int_{|x| \geq \delta} |K_t(x)| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow t_0.$$

Thm (Convergence Thm):

If $(K_t)_{t \in (a, b)}$ is a good kernel on \mathbb{R} ,
as $t \rightarrow t_0$,
and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$f * K_t(x) \Rightarrow f(x) \text{ as } t \rightarrow t_0.$$

Thm (Multiplicative formula):

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \hat{g}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(x) g(x) dx.$$

(Fubini Thm : Let $F(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Suppose one of the 3 integrations are finite

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |F(x, y)| dx dy,$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(x,y)| dy \right) dx;$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(x,y)| dx \right) dy;$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(x,y) dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x,y) dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x,y) dx \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the multiplicative formula:

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \widehat{g}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) g(y) e^{-2\pi i xy} dy \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

by Fubini (checking that

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) g(y) e^{-2\pi i xy} dx \right) dy \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)g(y)| dy \right) dx < \infty$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(y) g(y) dy$$

□

Recall (Inversion Formula)

: If $f \in M(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{f} \in M(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi$$

Proof. We first prove that

$$f(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Set for $\delta > 0$,

$$K_\delta(x) = e^{-\pi \delta x^2}.$$

Notice that $\hat{K}_\delta(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \xi^2/\delta}$.

Fact: $(\hat{K}_\delta)_{\delta>0}$ is a good kernel as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{K}_\delta(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \frac{x^2}{\delta}} dx$$

Letting $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\delta}}$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi y^2} dy$$

$$= 1$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{K}_\delta(x)| dx = 1$$

$\therefore \forall \gamma > 0$

$$\int_{|x| \geq \gamma} |\widehat{K}_\delta(x)| dx = \int_{|x| \geq \gamma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} e^{-\pi \frac{x^2}{\delta}} dx$$

Letting $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\delta}}$

$$= \int_{|y| \geq \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\delta}}} e^{-\pi y^2} dy$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0$$

Now by the convergence Thm,

$$f(0) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} f * \widehat{K_\delta}(0)$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \widehat{K_\delta}(-x) dx$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \widehat{K_\delta}(x) dx$$

by Multiplicative formula

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(x) K_\delta(x) dx$$

Dominated convergence Thm

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \widehat{f}(x) K_\delta(x) dx$$

$$(K_\delta(x) = e^{-\pi \delta x^2})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(x) dx$$

This proves the inversion formula at $x=0$.

Now for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\widehat{f}_{x_0}(x) = f(x + x_0).$$

Then

$$f_{x_0}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}_{x_0}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\text{LHS} = f(x_0)$$

$$\text{RHS} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x_0} d\xi$$

So we obtain

$$f(x_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x_0} d\xi \quad \boxed{14}.$$